arXiv:1609.07100v1 [math.AC] 22 Sep 2016

HYPERBOLICITY AND NEAR HYPERBOLICITY OF QUADRATIC FORMS OVER FUNCTION FIELDS OF QUADRICS

STEPHEN SCULLY

ABSTRACT. Let q and p be anisotropic quadratic forms of dimension ≥ 2 over a field F of characteristic $\neq 2$, and let i be the unique non-negative integer such that $2^i < \dim(p) \leq 2^{i+1}$. We show that if q becomes hyperbolic over the function field $F(p)$ of the projective quadric with equation $p = 0$, then all the higher Witt indices of q (except possibly the last) are divisible by 2^{i+1}. This implies, in particular, that $\dim(q)$ is divisible by 2^{i+1}. More generally, we conjecture that if the anisotropic kernel of $q_{F(p)}$ has dimension s, then there exists an integer $-s \leq \epsilon \leq s$ such that $\dim(q) + \epsilon$ is divisible by 2^{i+1}. We prove this conjecture in some special cases, including the case where $s \leq 7$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many of the central problems in the algebraic theory of quadratic forms seem to demand an investigation of the behaviour of quadratic forms under scalar extension to function fields of quadrics. This was already apparent from the early beginnings of the subject, with function fields of Pfister quadrics being used in an essential way to prove the fundamental Arason-Pfister Hauptsatz of 1971 ([AP71]). Arason and Pfister's work led to the foundational papers of Elman-Lam ([EL72]) and Knebusch ([Kne76, Kne77]), after which properties of function fields of quadrics have been systematically studied and exploited in many of the major developments in the theory; notable examples include the proof of the Milnor Conjectures ([Voe03],[OVV07]) and essentially all advances on Kaplansky's problem concerning the possible u-invariants of fields ([Mer91],[Izh01],[Vis09]).

A natural problem of interest in this context is the following: Let p and q be anisotropic quadratic forms over a field F of characteristic $\neq 2$, and let $F(p)$ denote the function field of the projective quadric with equation $p = 0$. Under what circumstances does q represent an element in the kernel $W(F(p)/F)$ of the scalar extension map $W(F) \to W(F(p))$ on the Witt ring of anisotropic forms? In other words, when does q become hyperbolic over $F(p)$? The initial impetus for the investigation of this problem was given by the aforementioned Arason-Pfister Hauptsatz, whose proof was based on the computation of $W(F(p)/F)$ in the special case where p is a Pfister form ([AP71]). In spite of the fundamental significance of this early development, very little progress has been made on the general case in the intervening 40 years, with some of the most basic questions (e.g., [GS78]) being as yet unresolved. In the positive direction, we must mention the work of Fitzgerald, who proved a strong general result on the low-dimensional part of $W(F(p)/F)$ ([Fit81]) and also completely determined $W(F(p)/F)$ in the case where $\dim(p) \leq 6$. Like that of Arason-Pfister, Fitzgerald's work relies essentially on the following basic fact which goes back to Cassels and Pfister: If $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic, then p is similar to a subform of q. In particular, the hyperbolicity of $q_{F(p)}$ implies that $\dim(p) \leq \dim(q)$. The main result of the present article is the following refinement of this dimension inequality:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11E04, 14E05, 15A03.

Key words and phrases. Quadratic forms, function fields of quadrics, hyperbolicity, near hyperbolicity, Witt kernels.

Main Theorem. *Let q and p be anisotropic quadratic forms of dimension ≥ 2 over a field F of characteristic $\neq 2$, and let i be the unique non-negative integer such that $2^i < \dim(p) \leq 2^{i+1}$. If q becomes hyperbolic over $F(p)$, then $\dim(q)$ is divisible by 2^{i+1}.*

In fact, we prove a stronger result at the level of the *splitting pattern*: In the situation of the theorem, all higher Witt indices of q (except possibly the last) are divisible by 2^{i+1}. This can be viewed (see §3.C below) as a precise generalization of Fitzgerald's result on the low-dimensional part of $W(F(p)/F)$, and naturally raises the question of whether q must, in fact, be divisible by an $(i+1)$-fold Pfister form. Conjecturally, the answer to the latter question should be yes in the case where q has degree $i+1$, which in this instance simply means that it does not represent an element in the $(i+2)$-nd power of the fundamental ideal of classes of even-dimensional forms in $W(F)$. We provide here some further evidence for this claim, including a proof for the case where $\dim(p) \leq 16$ (Corollary 4.12). Whether such divisibility should hold in the case where q has degree $\geq i+2$, on the other hand, would seem to be a wide open question.

Previously, our main theorem was only known in the special cases where p is a Pfister neighbour (Arason-Pfister, [AP71]) or $\dim(p) \leq 8$ (Fitzgerald, [Fit83]). The proof is given in §3.A below. The remainder of the paper then comprises a discussion of the following conjectural generalization: If $2^i < \dim(p) \leq 2^{i+1}$, and s denotes the dimension of the anisotropic kernel of $q_{F(p)}$, then there exists an integer $-s \leq \epsilon \leq s$ such that $\dim(q) + \epsilon$ is divisible by 2^{i+1}. Needless to say, this conjecture is only of interest when $s < 2^i$, which is the "near hyperbolicity" alluded to in the article's title. In this case, one can again formulate a more precise conjecture involving the entire splitting pattern of q (Conjecture 4.2). Conceptually, this assertion is related to our main theorem via a conjecture of Kahn on the low-dimensional part of the unramified Witt ring of a quadric (see §4.B below). Using the few known results on the latter conjecture, we can prove our claim in the case where $s \leq 7$ (Theorem 4.4). On the other hand, using methods from the theory of Chow motives, we can also prove the claim for any s under a certain technical assumption on the splitting pattern of q (also Theorem 4.4). This gives hope that the general case can be treated using these comparatively coarser techniques.

Notation and terminology. All fields considered in this paper are assumed to have characteristic $\neq 2$, and all quadratic forms are assumed to be non-degenerate. A *scheme* means a scheme of finite type over a field, and a *variety* means an integral scheme. If k is a field, then $\overline{k}$ will denote a fixed algebraic closure of k.

2. Some Preliminary Facts and Terminology

For the remainder of the text, F will denote an arbitrary field of characteristic $\neq 2$. We assume basic familiarity with the classical algebraic theory of quadratic forms, and the reader is referred to [EKM08] for all undefined terminology, facts and notation.

2.A. **Function fields of quadratic forms.** Let φ be a quadratic form over F. If $\dim(\varphi) \geq 2$ and φ is not isometric to the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H} = \langle 1, -1 \rangle$, then the projective quadric with equation $\varphi = 0$ is integral, and we write $F(\varphi)$ for its field of rational functions. Otherwise, we set $F(\varphi) = F$. The form φ is then isotropic precisely when $F(\varphi)$ is purely transcendental over F ([EKM08, Prop. 22.9]).

Recall now the following construction of Knebusch ([Kne76]): Set $F_0 = F$, $\varphi = \varphi_{\text{an}}$ (the anisotropic kernel of φ) and recursively define $F_r = F_{r-1}(\varphi_{r-1})$ and $\varphi_r = (\varphi_{F_r})_{\text{an}}$, with the understanding that the process stops at the first non-negative integer $h(\varphi)$ for which

$\varphi_{h(\varphi)}$ is *split*, i.e., of dimension ≤ 1. The integer $h(\varphi)$ is called the *height* of φ, and we shall refer to the decreasing sequence of integers

$$\mathrm{SP}(\varphi) := \big(\dim(\varphi_0), \dim(\varphi_1), \ldots, \dim(\varphi_{h(\varphi)})\big)$$

as the *splitting pattern* of φ. For each $0 \leq r \leq h(\varphi)$ we set $\mathfrak{j}_r(\varphi)$ to be the Witt index $\mathfrak{i}_W(\varphi_{F_r})$ of φ over the field F_r. If φ is not split and $r \geq 1$, then the integer $\mathfrak{j}_r(\varphi) - \mathfrak{j}_{r-1}(\varphi)$ is the *r-th higher Witt index of φ*, denoted $\mathfrak{i}_r(\varphi)$. By [Kne76, Thm. 5.8], the even-dimensional anisotropic forms of height 1 are precisely those which are similar to a Pfister form, i.e., similar to a form of the shape $\langle\langle a_1, \ldots, a_m\rangle\rangle := \bigotimes_{i=1}^m \langle 1, -a_i\rangle$. By the inductive nature of Knebusch's construction, it follows that if φ is non-split of even dimension, then $\varphi_{h(\varphi)-1}$ is similar to an n-fold Pfister form for some integer n. In this case we define the *degree* of φ, denoted $\deg(\varphi)$, to be n. If φ is hyperbolic, then we set $\deg(\varphi) = \infty$, and if φ is odd-dimensional, we put $\deg(\varphi) = 0$. By a deep result due to Orlov, Vishik and Voevoedsky ([OVV07, Thm. 4.3]), $\deg(\varphi)$ then coincides with the largest integer n such that φ represents an element in the n-th power $I^n(F)$ of the fundamental ideal $I(F) \subset W(F)$. The determination of the possible values of the splitting pattern invariant is an important open problem, but we have the following partial result due to Karpenko:

Theorem 2.1 ([Kar03])**.** *Let φ be an anisotropic quadratic form of dimension ≥ 2 over F. Then $\mathfrak{i}_1(\varphi) \leq 2^s$, where s is the 2-adic order of the integer $\dim(\varphi) - \mathfrak{i}_1(\varphi)$.*

Now let ψ be another quadratic form over F. Assuming that both forms have dimension ≥ 2, we say that φ and ψ are *stably birationally equivalent* if their associated projective quadrics are, which under our characteristic assumption simply amounts to the assertion that both $\varphi_{F(\psi)}$ and $\psi_{F(\varphi)}$ are isotropic. For example, a Pfister neighbour is stably birationally equivalent to its ambient Pfister form ([EKM08, Rem. 23.11]). More generally, if ψ is similar to a subform of φ having codimension $< \mathfrak{i}_W(\varphi_{F(\varphi)})$, then φ and ψ are stably birationally equivalent (see [EKM08, Lem. 74.1]). Following the previous example, we say in this case that ψ is a *neighbour* of φ.

2.B. **The motivic decomposition type and upper motives.** For basic introductions to the theory of Chow motives (with particular emphasis on motives of quadrics) we refer the reader to [Vis04] or [EKM08]. If k is a field, then we write $\mathsf{Chow}(k)$ for the additive category of Grothendieck-Chow motives over k with integral coefficients (as defined, for example, in [Vis04, §1]). If X is a smooth projective variety over k, then we will write $M(X)$ for its motive considered as an object of $\mathsf{Chow}(k)$. In the special case where $X = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$, we simply write $\mathbb{Z}$ instead of $M(X)$ (the dependency on the base field is suppressed from the notation). Given an integer i and an object M of $\mathsf{Chow}(k)$, we will write $M\{i\}$ for the i-th Tate twist of M. In particular, $\mathbb{Z}\{i\}$ will denote the Tate motive with shift i in $\mathsf{Chow}(k)$. If K is a field extension of k, we write M_K to denote the image of an object M in $\mathsf{Chow}(k)$ under the natural scalar extension functor $\mathsf{Chow}(k) \to \mathsf{Chow}(K)$.

Now, let X be a smooth projective quadric of dimension $n \geq 1$ defined by the vanishing of a quadratic form φ over our fixed field F, and let $\Lambda(n) = \{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq [n/2]\} \coprod \{n-i \mid 0 \leq i \leq [n/2]\}$. By a result of Vishik (see [Vis04, §§3,4]), any direct summand of $M(X)$ decomposes (in an essentially unique way) into a finite direct sum of indecomposable objects in $\mathsf{Chow}(F)$. If N is a non-zero direct summand of $M(X)$, then there exists a unique non-empty subset $\Lambda(N) \subseteq \Lambda(n)$ such that $N_{\overline{F}} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda(N)} \mathbb{Z}\{\lambda\}$ (*loc. cit.*). In particular, we have $\Lambda\big(M(X)\big) = \Lambda(n)$, which is a basic foundational result due to Rost ([Ros90]). Now, if N_1 and N_2 are distinct *indecomposable* direct summands of $M(X)$, then $\Lambda(N_1)$ and $\Lambda(N_2)$ are easily seen to be disjoint ([Vis04, Lem. 4.2]), and so the complete motivic decomposition of X determines in this way a partition of the set $\Lambda(n)$. This

partition is an important discrete invariant of φ known as its *motivic decomposition type*. For the reader's convenience, we state here the most significant recent advance in the study of this invariant due to Vishik ([Vis11]). Recall first that the *upper motive* of X is defined as the unique indecomposable direct summand $U(X)$ of $M(X)$ such that $0 \in \Lambda(U(X))$, i.e., such that $\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $U(X)_{\overline{F}}$. We then have:

Theorem 2.2 (see [Vis11, Thm. 2.1])**.** *Let φ be an anisotropic quadratic form of dimension ≥ 2 over F with associated (smooth[1]) projective quadric X. Write*

$$\dim(\varphi) - \mathfrak{i}_1(\varphi) = 2^{r_1} - 2^{r_2} + \cdots + (-1)^{t-1}2^{r_t}$$

for uniquely determined integers $r_1 > r_2 > \cdots > r_{t-1} > r_t + 1 \geq 1$, and, for each $1 \leq l \leq t$, set

$$D_l = \sum_{i=1}^{l-1}(-1)^{i-1}2^{r_i - 1} + \epsilon(l)\sum_{j=l}^{t}(-1)^{j-1}2^{r_j},$$

where $\epsilon(l) = 1$ if l is even and $\epsilon(l) = 0$ if l is odd. Then, for any $1 \leq l \leq t$, we have $D_l \in \Lambda(U(X))$, i.e., the Tate motive $\mathbb{Z}\{D_l\}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $U(X)_{\overline{F}}$.

We also state a more elementary observation regarding upper motives of quadrics, again due to Vishik (see [Vis04, Thm. 4.17] for a more general statement):

Theorem 2.3 ([Vis04, Thm. 4.15])**.** *Let φ and ψ be anisotropic quadratic forms of dimension ≥ 2 over F with associated (smooth) projective quadrics X and Y, respectively. Suppose that, for every field extension L of F, we have*

$$\mathfrak{i}_0(\psi_L) > 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{i}_0(\varphi_L) > l.$$

Then $U(Y)\{l\}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $M(X)$.

Note that the $l = 0$ case of this theorem asserts that if φ and ψ are stably birationally equivalent, then their associated quadrics have isomorphic upper motives. But by [Vis04, Cor. 4.7], the largest entry of $\Lambda(U(X))$ is $\dim(\varphi) - \mathfrak{i}_1(\varphi) - 1$, so this implies:

Theorem 2.4 ([Vis04, Cor. 4.9])**.** *If φ and ψ are stably birationally equivalent anisotropic quadratic forms of dimension ≥ 2 over F, then $\dim(\varphi) - \mathfrak{i}_1(\varphi) = \dim(\psi) - \mathfrak{i}_1(\psi)$.*

3. Hyperbolicity of quadratic forms over function fields of quadrics

3.A. **Statement of main result.** In this section, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let q and p be anisotropic quadratic forms of dimension ≥ 2 over F, and let i be the unique non-negative integer such that $2^i < \dim(p) \leq 2^{i+1}$. If $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic, then $\mathfrak{i}_r(q)$ is divisible by 2^{i+1} for every $1 \leq r < h(q)$.*

As a direct corollary, we obtain the following result on the structure of the Witt kernels of function fields of quadrics discussed in §1:

Corollary 3.2. *Let q and p be anisotropic quadratic forms of dimension ≥ 2 over F, let i be the unique non-negative integer such that $2^i < \dim(p) \leq 2^{i+1}$, and let $n = \deg(q)$ (i.e., $[q] \in I^n(F) \setminus I^{n+1}(F)$). If $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic, then $n \geq i + 1$ and:*

1. *If $n = i + 1$, then $\dim(q) = 2^{i+1}m$ for some odd integer m.*
2. *If $n \geq i + 2$, then $\dim(q)$ is divisible by 2^{i+2}.*

Proof. The inequality $n \geq i + 1$ holds by Corollary 3.7 below. The remaining statements follow immediately from Theorem 3.1 and the fact that $\dim(q) = 2^n + \sum_{r=1}^{h(q)-1} 2\mathfrak{i}_r(q)$. □

[1] In characteristic $\neq 2$, any anisotropic projective quadric is necessarily smooth.

Remark 3.3. If one replaces quadratic forms with symmetric bilinear forms, then a characteristic-2 analogue of Corollary 3.2 is known to hold by a result of Laghribi ([Lag05, Thm. 1.2]). This is based on completely different ideas to those presented here. The analogous statement for non-singular *quadratic* forms in characteristic 2, on the other hand, is unknown.

Now, the following statement is a well-known (see [Vis04, Lem. 6.2] for a proof):

Lemma 3.4. *Let q be an anisotropic quadratic form over F which is divisible by an s-fold Pfister form for some $s \geq 1$. Then $\mathfrak{i}_r(q)$ is divisible by 2^s for all $1 \leq r < h(q)$.*

Theorem 3.1 therefore gives rise to the following question:

Question 3.5. Let q and p be anisotropic quadratic forms of dimension ≥ 2 over F, and let i be the unique non-negative integer such that $2^i < \dim(p) \leq 2^{i+1}$. If $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic, does it follow that q divisible by an $(i+1)$-fold Pfister form?

In general, we are unable to commit either way on this question. We do, however, expect that the answer is yes in the special case where $\deg(q)$ assumes its smallest possible value of $i+1$. In fact, we conjecture that in this situation, the hyperbolicity of $q_{F(p)}$ implies that p is a Pfister neighbour. By [AP71], this would imply that q is isometric to the product of an odd-dimensional form and the ambient Pfister form of p (see Corollary 3.9 below). This conjecture goes back to Fitzgerald ([Fit83]), and we provide further evidence for its validity in §4 below. In particular, we show that, if $\deg(q) = i+1$ and $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic, then the upper motive of the quadric $\{p = 0\}$ is a twisted form of a Rost motive (see Corollary 4.12). By a result of Karpenko ([Kar01]), this implies that the conjecture holds if $i \leq 3$. Hypothetically, it should imply it for any i ([Vis04, Conj. 4.21]).

3.B. **Proof of main result.** We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 3.1. We recall the statement of the Cassels-Pfister subform theorem:

Theorem 3.6 (cf. [Kne76, Lem. 4.5])**.** *Let q and p be anisotropic quadratic forms over F such that $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic. Then, for any $a \in D(p)$ and $b \in D(q)$, there exists a quadratic form r over F such that $q \simeq r \perp abp$. In particular,* $\dim(p) \leq \dim(q)$.

Taking degrees into account, the dimension inequality can be refined as follows:

Corollary 3.7. *Let q and p be anisotropic quadratic forms over F such that $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic, and let $n = \deg(q)$. Then* $\dim(p) \leq 2^n$.

Indeed, this follows from the Cassels-Pfister subform theorem by taking L to be the penultimate entry of the Knebusch splitting tower of q in the following lemma:

Lemma 3.8. *Let q and p be anisotropic quadratic forms over F such that $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic, and let L be a field extension of F. If q_L is not hyperbolic, then p_L is anisotropic, and $(q_L)_{\mathrm{an}}$ becomes hyperbolic over $L(p)$.*

Proof. It is clear that $(q_L)_{\mathrm{an}}$ becomes hyperbolic over $L(p)$. If p_L is isotropic, then $L(p)$ is a purely transcendental extension of L and so q_L must already be hyperbolic. □

In the special case where p is a Pfister neighbour, we have the following stronger result which was first proved (implicitly) by Arason and Pfister in [AP71]:

Corollary 3.9 ([AP71])**.** *Let q and p be anisotropic quadratic form over F such that $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic. If p is a neighbour of a Pfister form π, then q is divisible by π.*

Proof. The forms π and p are stably birationally equivalent, and so $q_{F(\pi)}$ is hyperbolic (see §2.A above). Since π becomes hyperbolic over $F(\pi)$ (i.e., since π has height 1), the result follows from a repeated application of the Cassels-Pfister subform theorem. □

Next, we recall another basic consequence of the Cassels-Pfister subform theorem which was first noted by Gentile and Shapiro in [GS78]:

Lemma 3.10 ([GS78, Lem. 17])**.** *Let q, p and r be anisotropic quadratic forms over F such that $q \simeq p \perp r$. If $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic, then, for any field extension L of F, either*

(1) $(q_L)_{\mathrm{an}} \simeq (p_L)_{\mathrm{an}} \perp (r_L)_{\mathrm{an}}$, *or*
(2) $(r_L)_{\mathrm{an}} \simeq (q_L)_{\mathrm{an}} \perp -(p_L)_{\mathrm{an}}$.

Proof. Both (1) and (2) are true if we replace the isometry relation with Witt equivalence. In particular, (2) holds if either q_L is hyperbolic or $(q_L)_{\mathrm{an}} \perp -(p_L)_{\mathrm{an}}$ is anisotropic. If not, then p_L is anisotropic and $(q_L)_{\mathrm{an}}$ becomes hyperbolic over $L(p)$ (Lemma 3.8). At the same time, $(q_L)_{\mathrm{an}}$ and p_L represent a common non-zero element of L, and so $p_L \subset (q_L)_{\mathrm{an}}$ by the Cassels-Pfister subform theorem. By Witt cancellation, it then follows that (1) holds. □

Finally, we will also need the following lemma, which is a particular case of the well-known general fact that "existence of a rational map" is a transitive relation among smooth projective varieties (as follows directly from [Gro61, (7.3.8)], for example):

Lemma 3.11 ([EKM08, Prop. 22.18])**.** *Let q and p be anisotropic quadratic forms over F. If $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic, then so is $q_{F(p')}$ for any subform $p' \subset p$ of dimension ≥ 2.*

Proof. Let P and P' be the projective quadrics with equations $p = 0$ and $p' = 0$, respectively. By hypothesis, there exists a rational map $P \dashrightarrow Y$, where Y is the (smooth projective) Grassmannian of maximal totally isotropic subspaces in q. By the above fact, there then also exists a rational map $P' \dashrightarrow Y$, i.e., $q_{F(p')}$ is hyperbolic. □

We are ready to prove Theorem 3.1:

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We argue by induction on $\dim(q) + i$. If p is a Pfister neighbour, then Corollary 3.9 implies that q is divisible by an $(i+1)$-fold Pfister form, and the claim then follows from Lemma 3.4. In particular, the theorem holds when $i = 0$, since in this case we have $\dim(p) = 2$, and every 2-dimensional anisotropic form is trivially a Pfister neighbour. This gives the basis for our induction. Assume now that $i \geq 1$. By Corollary 3.7, we have $\deg(q) \geq i + 1$. Since the statement is trivial if $h(q) = 1$, we can assume that $h(q) \geq 2$. Now, by Lemma 3.11, q becomes hyperbolic over the function field of any subform of p having dimension at least 2. Thus, replacing p by a subform of itself if necessary, we can assume that $\dim(p) = 2^i + 1$. Furthermore, q becomes hyperbolic over the function field of any subform of p having dimension $2^{i-1} + 1$, and so the induction hypothesis implies that $\mathfrak{i}_r(q)$ is divisible by 2^i for every $1 \leq r < h(q)$. By the proof of Corollary 3.2, this implies, in particular, that $\dim(q)$ is divisible by 2^{i+1}. Note that for $2 \leq r < h(q)$, the induction hypothesis actually implies that $\mathfrak{i}_r(q)$ is divisible by 2^{i+1}. Indeed, since $h(q) > 1$, Lemma 3.8 shows that $p_{F(q)}$ is anisotropic and that $q_1 = (q_{F(q)})_{\mathrm{an}}$ becomes hyperbolic over $F(q)(p)$. Since $\mathfrak{i}_r(q_1) = \mathfrak{i}_{r+1}(q)$ for all $1 \leq r < h(q)$, the claim follows. It now only remains to show that $\mathfrak{i}_1(q)$ is divisible by 2^{i+1}.

Multiplying q and p by scalars if necessary, we can assume that both forms represent 1. By the Cassels-Pfister subform theorem, we can then write $q \simeq p \perp r$ for some form r over F. The main point to observe here is the following:

Lemma 3.12. *In the above situation $r_{F(q)}$ is isotropic.*

Proof. Suppose that this is not the case. We have already noted above that $p_{F(q)}$ is anisotropic, so if $r_{F(q)}$ is anisotropic as well, then Lemma 3.10 implies that either

(1) $q_1 \simeq p_{F(q)} \perp r_{F(q)}$, or

(2) $r_{F(q)} \simeq q_1 \perp -p_{F(q)}$,

where, as above, $q_1 = (q_{F(q)})_{\mathrm{an}}$ is the first higher anisotropic kernel of q. Clearly (1) does not hold, because the right-hand side of the isometry is nothing else but $q_{F(q)}$ (and $q_{F(q)} \not\simeq q_1$). We must therefore be in case (2). Taking dimensions, we see that

$$\dim(r) = \dim(q) - 2\mathfrak{i}_1(q) + \dim(p).$$

Since $\dim(q) = \dim(p) + \dim(r)$, this amounts to the assertion that $\mathfrak{i}_1(q) = \dim(p)$. But $\mathfrak{i}_1(q)$ is divisible by 2^i, and since $\dim(p) = 2^i + 1$ (with $i \geq 1$), this gives the needed contradiction. We therefore conclude that $r_{F(q)}$ is isotropic, as claimed. □

Now, since $q_{F(r)}$ is trivially isotropic (r being a subform of q), the lemma implies that q and r are stably birationally equivalent. By Vishik's Theorem 2.4, we then have that

$$\mathfrak{i}_1(q) = \dim(q) - \dim(r) + \mathfrak{i}_1(r).$$

In particular, since $\dim(q) - \dim(r) = \dim(p) = 2^i + 1$, and since $\mathfrak{i}_1(r) \geq 1$, we have $\mathfrak{i}_1(q) > 2^i$. By Karpenko's theorem (Theorem 2.1), it follows that

$$\dim(q) - \mathfrak{i}_1(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+1}}.$$

Since $\dim(q)$ is divisible by 2^{i+1}, this shows that $\mathfrak{i}_1(q)$ is also divisible by 2^{i+1}, as we wanted. This completes the induction step and the proof of the theorem. □

3.C. **Fitzgerald's theorem revisited.** Note that the proof of Corollary 3.2 also yields the following estimate for $\dim(q)$ in the situation of Theorem 3.1:

Corollary 3.13. *Let q and p be anisotropic quadratic forms of dimension ≥ 2 over F, let i be the unique non-negative integer such that $2^i < \dim(p) \leq 2^{i+1}$, and let $n = \deg(q)$. If $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic, then $n \geq i+1$ and*

$$\dim(q) \geq 2^n + (h(q) - 1)2^{i+2},$$

with equality holding if and only if $\mathfrak{i}_r(q) = 2^{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq r < h(q)$.

In particular, we get a satisfying explanation of Fitzgerald's theorem on the low-dimensional part of the Witt kernel $W(F(p)/F)$:

Corollary 3.14 (Fitzgerald, [Fit81, Thm. 1.6])**.** *Let q and p be anisotropic quadratic forms over F such that $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic, and let $n = \deg(q)$. If $\dim(p) > \frac{1}{2}(\dim(q) - 2^n)$, then q is similar to a Pfister form.*

Proof. In this situation, we necessarily have that $\dim(p) \geq 2$. Let i be the unique non-negative integer such that $2^i < \dim(p) \leq 2^{i+1}$. Then, by hypothesis, we have

$$\dim(q) < 2^n + 2\dim(p) \leq 2^n + 2^{i+2}.$$

By Corollary 3.13, it follows that $h(q) = 1$, which is precisely what we needed to show. □

Remark 3.15. As noted by Fitzgerald ([Fit81, §2]), the inequality of Corollary 3.14 cannot be weakened in general. Here we can clarify the situation further: Let q and p be as in Corollary 3.13 above. If we are in the border case where $\dim(p) = \frac{1}{2}(\dim(q) - 2^n)$, then our result shows that $h(q) = 2$, $\dim(q) = 2^n + 2^{i+2}$ and $\dim(p) = 2^{i+1}$. By a theorem of Vishik ([Vis04, Thm. 6.4]), any degree-n form of height ≥ 2 has dimension $\geq 2^n + 2^{n-1}$. We therefore have $n - 3 \leq i \leq n - 1$. All three cases can occur. In fact, q should, in this situation, be the product of an $(i+1)$-fold Pfister form and either an Albert form (if $i = n - 3$), a 4-dimensional form of non-trivial discriminant (if $i = n - 2$) or a form of dimension 3 (if $i = n - 1$) – see [Kah96, §2.4]. This has been shown to be the case when

$n \leq 3$ (*loc. cit.*), and can also be proven for $n = 4$ using more recent results (though this is absent from the literature). The general case remains out of reach at present.

4. Near hyperbolicity of quadratic forms over function fields of quadrics

4.A. **Conjectural generalization of the main result.** The remainder of this paper is concerned with investigating the following conjectural generalization of our Main Theorem (the latter being the special case where $s = 0$):

Conjecture 4.1. *Let q and p be anisotropic quadratic forms over F, let i be the unique non-negative integer such that $2^i < \dim(p) \leq 2^{i+1}$, and let $s = \dim((q_{F(p)})_{\mathrm{an}})$. Then there exist integers $a \geq 0$ and $-s \leq \epsilon \leq s$ such that $\dim(q) = 2^{i+1}a + \epsilon$.*

It is worth remarking that this conjecture seems to be analogous recent theorem of the author concerning the splitting of so-called "quasilinear" quadratic forms over function fields of quadrics in characteristic *two*, but with a certain condition on the integer s removed (compare [Scu16, Thm. 6.23]). Of course, the assertion is trivially true if $s \geq 2^i$, and so we are only interested in the situation where $s < 2^i$, i.e., where $q_{F(p)}$ is either hyperbolic or "nearly hyperbolic". As with the case of hyperbolicity, the conjecture should extend in this more general situation to a statement regarding the entire splitting pattern of q. More specifically, recall from [Kne76, §5] the integer s necessarily appears here as the dimension of q or one of the higher anisotropic kernels of q. We can therefore formulate our statement as follows (with the $s = 0$ case being essentially Theorem 3.1 above):

Conjecture 4.2. *In the situation of Conjecture 4.1, let $0 \leq k \leq h(q)$ be such that $\dim(q_k) = s$. Suppose that $s < 2^i$. Then, for each $0 \leq r < k$, there exist integers $a_r, b_r \geq 1$ and $-s \leq \epsilon_r \leq s$ such that*

1. $\dim(q_r) = 2^{i+1}a_r + \epsilon_r$.
2. *With one possible exception, either $\mathfrak{i}_{r+1}(q) \leq \frac{1}{2}(s+\epsilon_r)$ or $\mathfrak{i}_{r+1}(q) = b_r 2^{i+1} + \epsilon_r$. The exception is where $\dim(q_r) = 2^{i+1} - s$, in which case $r = k-1$ and $\mathfrak{i}_{r+1}(q) = 2^i - s$.*

Remark 4.3. If $s = 0$ (i.e., if $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic), then we have $k = h(q) - 1$. In general, the exceptional case of (2) corresponds to the case of Theorem 3.1 in which $\deg(q) = i+1$, so that $\mathfrak{i}_{h(q)}(q)$ (being equal to 2^i) is not divisible by 2^{i+1}.

While we cannot prove either the weak or strong form of our conjecture in complete generality at present, we can at least do so in a couple of special cases:

Theorem 4.4. *Conjecture 4.2 (and hence Conjecture 4.1) is true if either*

1. $s \leq 7$, *or*
2. $\dim(q) \leq 2^{i+1} + 2^i$.

In particular, (1) shows that both conjectures hold if $\dim(p) \leq 16$. The proof of Theorem 4.4 is given in §4.C below. Case (2) is treated using a motivic argument which shows that, more generally, Conjecture 4.2 holds under a certain assumption on the splitting pattern of q (holding trivially if $\dim(q) \leq 2^{i+1} + 2^i$). This gives hope that the general case can be treated using similarly coarse methods. On the other hand, the proof of case (1) is based on an argument relating Conjecture 4.2 to Theorem 3.1 and a (seemingly) much stronger conjecture of Kahn on the unramified Witt ring of a quadric. As we now explain, this approach more clearly illustrates the philosophy underlying the above discussion.

4.B. **A related conjecture of Kahn.** Let φ be an anisotropic quadratic form of dimension ≥ 2 over F. Recall that the *unramified Witt ring* of the projective quadric $\{\varphi = 0\}$, denoted $W_{\mathrm{nr}}(F(\varphi))$, is defined as the subring of $W(F(\varphi))$ consisting of those classes of anisotropic quadratic forms which have no non-trivial (second) residues at the codimension-1 points of the projective quadric $\{\varphi = 0\}$ (see [Kah95]). The image of the canonical scalar extension map $W(F) \to W(F(\varphi))$ trivially lies in $W_{\mathrm{nr}}(F(\varphi))$, but equality need not hold in general (see, e.g., [KRS98, Cor. 10]). Nevertheless, Kahn has made the following strong conjecture concerning the "low-dimensional" part of $W_{\mathrm{nr}}(F(\varphi))$:

Conjecture 4.5 (Kahn, [Kah95, Conj. 1])**.** *Let φ be an anisotropic quadratic form over F and let $[\tau] \in W_{nr}(F(\varphi))$. If $\dim(\tau) < \frac{1}{2}\dim(\varphi)$, then τ is defined over F, i.e., there exists a quadratic form σ over F such that $\tau \simeq \sigma_{F(\varphi)}$.*

If true, this has the following application to Conjecture 4.2:

Proposition 4.6. *Assume in the situation of Conjecture 4.2 that $\dim(q_{k-1}) > 2s$. Then, $(q_{F(p)})_{\mathrm{an}}$ is defined over F provided that Conjecture 4.5 holds whenever $\dim(\tau) \leq s$ and $\dim(\varphi) \geq \dim(q_{k-1})$.*

Proof. Let (F_r) be the Knebusch splitting tower of q. The form q_k is evidently unramified at the codimension-1 points of the quadric $\{q_{k-1} = 0\}$. Since $\dim(q_k) = s$ and $\dim(q_{k-1}) > 2s$, our hypothesis implies that $q_k \simeq \tau_{F_k}$ for some form τ over F_{k-1}. If $k > 1$, then τ is unramified at the codimension-1 points of $X = \{q_{k-2} = 0\}$. Indeed, since $q_k \simeq \tau_{F_k}$, Corollary 3.7 implies that $[\tau \perp -q_{k-1}] \in I^n(F_{k-1})$, where $2^n \geq \dim(q_{k-1}) > 2s$. Thus, if $x \in X^{(1)}$, and π is a uniformizer for $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, we have (using [EKM08, Lem. 19.14])

$$\partial_{x,\pi}([\tau]) = \partial_{x,\pi}([\tau \perp -q_{k-1}]) \in \partial_{x,\pi}\big(I^n(F_{k-1})\big) \subseteq I^{n-1}(F_{k-2}(x)).$$

But $\dim(\tau) = s$, and since $2^{n-1} > s$, the Arason-Pfister Hauptsatz ([AP71]) then implies that $\partial_{x,\pi}([\tau]) = 0$. Again, our hypothesis now gives that q_k is defined over F_{k-2}. Continuing in this way, we see that q_k is defined over F, say $q_k \simeq \sigma_{F_k}$. We claim that $(q_{F(p)})_{\mathrm{an}} \simeq \sigma_{F(p)}$. Since $\dim(\sigma) = s = \dim((q_{F(p)})_{\mathrm{an}})$, it suffices to show that $(q \perp -\sigma)_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic. But $(q \perp -\sigma)_{F_k(p)}$ is hyperbolic, and so it only remains to observe that $F_k(p)$ is a purely transcendental extension of $F(p)$. But if $r < k$, then the form q_r becomes isotropic over $F_r(p)$ by the very definition of k. Hence $F_{r+1}(p) = F_r(p)(q_r)$ is a purely transcendental extension of $F_r(p)$, and so the claim follows by an easy induction. □

If one assumes another related conjecture of Vishik, then one can go a bit further here (see Remark 4.13 (2)). In any case, the philosophy underlying Conjecture 4.2 is now clear: If $q_{F(p)}$ is "nearly hyperbolic", then its anisotropic part should typically be defined over F, and the necessary conclusions can be made using Theorem 3.1. In more details:

Lemma 4.7. *Conjecture 4.2 is true in the case where $(q_{F(p)})_{\mathrm{an}}$ is defined over F.*

Proof. By an easy induction on k, it suffices to show that if $k > 0$, then $\dim(q)$ and $\mathfrak{i}_1(q)$ have the prescribed form. Assume that $(q_{F(p)})_{\mathrm{an}} \simeq \sigma_{F(p)}$ for some form σ over F, and let $\eta = (q \perp -\sigma)_{\mathrm{an}}$. Since both q and σ are anisotropic, there exists an integer $0 \leq \lambda \leq \dim(\sigma) = s$, and codimension-$\lambda$ subforms $\widetilde{q} \subset q$ and $\widetilde{\sigma} \subset \sigma$ such that $\eta \simeq \widetilde{q} \perp -\widetilde{\sigma}$. In particular, setting $\epsilon = 2\lambda - s$, we have $\dim(q) = \dim(\eta) + \epsilon$. Now, by construction, η becomes hyperbolic over $F(p)$. Thus, by Corollary 3.2, we have $\dim(\eta) = 2^{i+1}a$ for some $a \geq 1$ (and also $\deg(\eta) \geq i+1$). We therefore have $\dim(q) = 2^{i+1}a + \epsilon$. As for the assertion regarding $\mathfrak{i}_1(q)$, we may assume that $\mathfrak{i}_1(q) > (s+\epsilon)/2 = \lambda$, so that $\widetilde{q}$ is a neighbour of q in the sense of §2.A above. In particular, q and $\widetilde{q}$ are stably birationally equivalent. If η is similar to an $(i+1)$-fold Pfister form, then the Cassels-Pfister subform theorem

implies that q is a subform of η, whence $\lambda = 0$. Since $\dim(\sigma) < 2^i$, q is then a neighbour of η, and so $\mathfrak{i}_1(q) = 2^i - s$ (e.g., by Theorem 2.4). Otherwise, Theorem 3.1 implies that $\mathfrak{i}_1(\eta) = 2^{i+1}b$ for some $b \geq 1$. Again, since $\dim(\widetilde{\sigma}) < 2^i$, it follows that $\widetilde{q}$ is a neighbour of η. As q is a neighbour of $\widetilde{q}$, we see that q and η are stably birationally equivalent, and so $\mathfrak{i}_1(q) = \mathfrak{i}_1(\eta) + \dim(q) - \dim(\eta) = 2^{i+1}b + \epsilon$ by Theorem 2.4. This proves the lemma. □

4.C. **Proof of Theorem 4.4.** In this final section, we fix the following notation:

- q and p are anisotropic quadratic forms of dimension ≥ 2 over F.
- Q and P denote the (smooth) projective quadrics defined by the vanishing of q and p, respectively.
- i is the unique non-negative integer such that $2^i < \dim(p) \leq 2^{i+1}$.
- $s := \dim((q_{F(p)})_{\mathrm{an}})$.
- k is the unique integer in $[0, h(q)]$ such that $\dim(q_k) = s$.
- $\mathfrak{i} := \mathfrak{i}_W(q_{F(p)})$.

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 4.4. While case (1) requires the ideas discussed in §4.B above, case (2) is treated using a motivic approach which yields a stronger result in the direction of Conjecture 4.2. In order to state it, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.8. *Assume, in the situation of Conjecture 4.2, that $k \geq 1$ (i.e, that $q_{F(p)}$ is isotropic). Then $\dim(q_{k-1}) = 2^N - s$ for some integer $N \geq i + 1$.*

Proof. Let (F_r) be the Knebusch splitting pattern of q. Since q_{k-1} becomes isotropic over $F_{k-1}(p)$, and since $\dim(p) > 2^i$, Hoffmann's separation theorem ([Hof95, Thm. 1]) implies that $\dim(q_{k-1}) > 2^i$. In particular, $\dim(q_{k-1}) = 2^N - m$ for some integers $N \geq i + 1$ and $0 \leq m < 2^{N-1}$. By definition, we then have $\mathfrak{i}_1(q_{k-1}) = \frac{1}{2}(2^N - m - s)$, so that

$$\dim(q_{k-1}) - \mathfrak{i}_1(q_{k-1}) = \frac{1}{2}(2^N - m + s) > 2^{N-2} \quad \text{(remember that } s < 2^i\text{)}.$$

By Karpenko's theorem (Theorem 2.1), it follows that 2^{N-1} divides $m - s$. Since both both m and s are strictly less than 2^{N-1}, this implies that $m = s$, and so $\dim(q_{k-1}) = 2^N - s$. □

Our result is now that Conjecture 4.2 holds in the case where $N = i + 1$:

Theorem 4.9. *Assume that $k \geq 1$ (i.e., that $q_{F(p)}$ is isotropic). If $\dim(q_{k-1}) = 2^{i+1} - s$, then Conjecture 4.2 is true.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [Scu16, Thm. 4.1], and begins with the following observation regarding the motivic decomposition of the quadric Q:

Lemma 4.10. *In the situation of Theorem 4.9, $U(P)\{\mathfrak{i} - 1\}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $M(Q)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, it suffices to check that for every field extension L of F, we have $\mathfrak{i}_W(p_L) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{i}_W(q_L) \geq \mathfrak{i}$. The left to right implication is immediate; indeed, if p_L is isotropic, then $L(p)$ is a purely transcendental extension of L, and so $\mathfrak{i}_W(q_L) = \mathfrak{i}_W(q_{L(p)}) \geq \mathfrak{i}_W(q_{F(p)}) = \mathfrak{i}$. For the other implication, assume that $\mathfrak{i}_W(q_L) \geq \mathfrak{i}$. If (F_r) denotes the Knebusch splitting tower of q, then it follows from the very definition of k that the free composite $F_k \cdot L$ is a purely transcendental extension of L (compare the end of the proof of Proposition 4.6). To show that $\mathfrak{i}_W(p_L) > 0$, it therefore suffices to show that p becomes isotropic over F_k. Note first that, by hypothesis, we have

$$\dim(q_{k-1}) - \mathfrak{i}_1(q_{k-1}) = \dim(q_{k-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\dim(q_{k-1}) - \dim(q_k)\right) = (2^{i+1} - s) - \frac{1}{2}(2^{i+1} - 2s) = 2^i.$$

On the other hand, the form q_{k-1} becomes isotropic over $F_{k-1}(p)$. Since $\dim(p) > 2^i$, and since $F_k = F_{k-1}(q_{k-1})$, the desired assertion thus follows from ([Izh00, Thm. 0.2]). $\square$

The proof of Theorem 4.9 now proceeds by induction on k, with the case where $k = 1$ being trivial. Indeed, if $k = 1$, then $\dim(q) = 2^{i+1} - s$ and $\mathfrak{i}_1(q) = (\dim(q) - s)/2 = 2^i - s$. Assume now that $k \geq 2$ (in particular, $h(q) \geq 2$). Applying the induction hypothesis to the pair $(q_1, p_{F(q)})$, we immediately get that the integers $\dim(q_r)$ and $\mathfrak{i}_{r+1}(q)$ have the prescribed form for all $1 \leq r < k$. In particular, we have $\dim(q_1) = 2^{i+1}a_1 + \epsilon_1$ for some $a_1 \geq 1$ and $-s \leq \epsilon_1 \leq s$. Since $\dim(q) = \dim(q_1) + 2\mathfrak{i}_1(q)$, we then have

$$\mathfrak{i} = \frac{1}{2}(\dim(q) - s) = 2^i a_1 + \mathfrak{i}_1(q) - \left(\frac{s - \epsilon_1}{2}\right). \tag{4.1}$$

It still remains show that $\dim(q)$ and $\mathfrak{i}_1(q)$ are as claimed. We separate two cases:

Case 1. $\mathfrak{i}_1(q) \leq (s - \epsilon_1)/2$. In this case, the assertions are clear. Indeed, we have

$$2^{i+1}a_1 + \epsilon_1 = \dim(q_1) < \dim(q) = \dim(q_1) + 2\mathfrak{i}_1(q) \leq \dim(q_1) + (s - \epsilon_1) = 2^{i+1}a_1 + s.$$

Since $-s \leq \epsilon_1 \leq s$, this shows that $\dim(q) = 2^{i+1}a_1 + \epsilon_0$ for some $-s < \epsilon_0 \leq s$, and we then have

$$\mathfrak{i}_1(q) = \frac{\dim(q) - \dim(q_1)}{2} = \frac{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_1}{2} \leq \frac{s + \epsilon_0}{2}.$$

Case 2. $\mathfrak{i}_1(q) > (s - \epsilon_1)/2$. In this case, let u denote the smallest non-negative integer such that $2^u \geq \mathfrak{i}_1(q)$. By Karpenko's theorem (Theorem 2.1), the integer $\dim(q) - \mathfrak{i}_1(q)$ is divisible by 2^u. Since $\dim(q) = \dim(q_1) + 2\mathfrak{i}_1(q)$, it follows that

$$2^{i+1}a_1 + \epsilon_1 + \mathfrak{i}_1(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^u}. \tag{4.2}$$

We claim that $u > i$. Suppose that this is not the case. Then (4.2) implies that $\mathfrak{i}_1(q) = 2^u\mu - \epsilon_1$ for some integer μ. Now, by hypothesis (and the fact that $\epsilon_1 \geq -s$), we have

$$\mathfrak{i}_1(q) + \epsilon_1 > \frac{s - \epsilon_1}{2} + \epsilon_1 = \frac{s + \epsilon_1}{2} \geq 0,$$

and so $\mu > 0$. At the same time, we have $2^u\mu \leq 2^i$. Indeed, since $2^u \geq \mathfrak{i}_1(q)$, the inequality $2^u\mu > 2^i$ would imply that

$$\epsilon_1 = 2^u\mu - \mathfrak{i}_1(q) \geq 2^i,$$

which is not the case (since $\epsilon_1 \leq s < 2^i$). The situation is thus as follows: We have

$$\dim(q) - \mathfrak{i}_1(q) = \dim(q_1) + \mathfrak{i}_1(q) = 2^{i+1}a_1 + 2^u\mu, \tag{4.3}$$

where $a_1 \geq 1$ and $0 < 2^u\mu \leq 2^i$. Now the integer $2^{i+1}a_1$ can be written in the form $2^{r_1} - 2^{r_2} + \cdots + 2^{r_{w-1}} - 2^{r_w}$ for some integers $r_1 > r_2 > \cdots > r_w \geq i + 1$, while $2^u\mu$ can be written as $2^{r_{w+1}} - 2^{r_{w+2}} + \cdots + (-1)^{t-1}2^{r_t}$ for unique integers $i \geq r_{w+1} > r_{w+2} > \cdots > r_{t-1} > r_t + 1 \geq 1$. Equation 4.3 can therefore be re-written as

$$\dim(q) - \mathfrak{i}_1(q) = \underbrace{(2^{r_1} - 2^{r_2} + \cdots + 2^{r_{w-1}} - 2^{r_w})}_{2^{i+1}a_1} + \underbrace{\left(2^{r_{w+1}} - 2^{r_{w+2}} + \cdots + (-1)^{t-1}2^{r_t}\right)}_{2^u\mu}.$$

Unless $2^u\mu = 2^i$ and $r_w = i + 1$, this is precisely the presentation of $\dim(q) - \mathfrak{i}_1(q)$ as an alternating sum of 2-powers appearing in the statement of Vishik's Theorem 2.2. If $2^u\mu = 2^i$ and $r_w = i + 1$, then the needed presentation is

$$\dim(q) - \mathfrak{i}_1(q) = \underbrace{(2^{r_1} - 2^{r_2} + \cdots + 2^{r_{w-1}})}_{2^{i+1}a_1 + 2^{i+1}} - 2^i.$$

Either way, see that the Tate motive $\mathbb{Z}\{2^i a_1\}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $U(Q)_{\overline{F}}$. Indeed, this follows by applying Vishik's Theorem with $l = w + 1$ in the first case, and with $l = w$ in the second. Let $j = \mathfrak{i}_1(q) - 1 - (s - \epsilon_1)/2$. Since $\mathfrak{i}_1(q) > (s - \epsilon_1)/2$, we then have $0 \leq j < \mathfrak{i}_1(q)$. Thus, by [Vis04, Cor. 3.10], $U(Q)\{j\}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $M(Q)$. By the preceding discussion, $\mathbb{Z}\{2^i a_1 + j\}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $U(Q)\{j\}_{\overline{F}}$. But

$$2^i a_1 + j = 2^i a_1 + \mathfrak{i}_1(q) - (s - \epsilon_1)/2 - 1 = \mathfrak{i} - 1$$

by equation (4.1). In view of Lemma 4.10, we thus see that there are two indecomposable direct summands of $M(Q)$ containing the Tate motive $\mathbb{Z}\{\mathfrak{i} - 1\}$ in their respective decompositions over $\overline{F}$, namely, $U(Q)\{j\}$ and $U(P)\{\mathfrak{i} - 1\}$. As result, we must have $U(Q)\{j\} \cong U(P)\{\mathfrak{i}-1\}$ (see §2.B above). In particular, we have $j = \mathfrak{i}-1$. Since $j < \mathfrak{i}_1(q)$, and since $\mathfrak{i} > 0$, this implies that $\mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{i}_1(q)$. In other words, it implies that $k = 1$, which provides us with the needed contradiction to our supposition. We can therefore conclude that $u > i$, i.e., that $\mathfrak{i}_1(q) > 2^i$. By (4.2), it follows that $\mathfrak{i}_1(q) = 2^{i+1}b_0 - \epsilon_1$ for some positive integer b_0. Moreover, we then have

$$\dim(q) = \dim(q_1) + 2\mathfrak{i}_1(q) = 2^{i+1}(a_1 + 2b_0) - \epsilon_1.$$

Since $-s \leq \epsilon_1 \leq s$, this proves the theorem. □

The proof of Theorem 4.9 allows us to extract more information. Recall that a direct summand N in the motive of a smooth projective F-quadric is said to be *binary* if $N_{\overline{F}}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of two Tate motives. We then have:

Proposition 4.11. *If $s < 2^{i-1} + 2^{i-2}$ and $\dim(q_{k-1}) = 2^{i+1} - s$, then the upper motive $U(P)$ of the quadric P is binary.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.10, $U(P)\{\mathfrak{i} - 1\}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $M(Q)$. Since $\mathfrak{i} - 1 = \mathfrak{j}_k(q) - 1$, it follows from [Vis04, Thm. 4.13] that $N := U(P)\{\mathfrak{j}_{k-1}(q)\}$ is also isomorphic to a direct summand of $M(Q)$. By an argument identical to that given in [Vis04, Proof of Thm. 7.7], it follows that N, and hence $U(P)$, is binary provided that $\mathfrak{i}_r(q) < \mathfrak{i}_k(q)$ for all $r > k$. But $\mathfrak{i}_k(q) = (\dim(q_{k-1}) - s)/2 = 2^i - s > 2^{i-2}$ by hypothesis, and so it only remains to note that $\mathfrak{i}_r(q) \leq 2^{i-2}$ for all $r > k$. Indeed, if $\dim(q_{r-1}) \leq 2^{i-1}$, then this is trivial; otherwise, our hypothesis again implies that $2^{i-1} < \dim(q_{r-1}) < 2^{i-1} + 2^{i-2}$ and so the claim follows from Hoffmann's separation theorem (see [Hof95, Cor. 1]). □

As conjectured by Vishik (cf. [Vis04, Conj. 4.21]), the upper motive of an anisotropic projective quadric over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ should be binary only when its underlying quadratic form is a Pfister neighbour (the converse being a well-known result of Rost ([Ros90, Prop. 4])). Significant evidence for the validity of this assertion has been given in [IV00, §6]. Moreover, in [Kar01], Karpenko (essentially) showed that Vishik's conjecture holds for forms of dimension ≤ 16. Applying this to the special case where $s = 0$ and $\deg(q) = i + 1$, we get the following result promised in §3.A above:

Corollary 4.12. *If $q_{F(p)}$ is hyperbolic and $\deg(q) = i + 1$, then:*

1. *The upper motive $U(P)$ of the quadric P is binary.*
2. *If $i \leq 3$ (i.e., $\dim(p) \leq 16$), there exists an $(i+1)$-dimensional Pfister form π and an odd-dimensional form r such that $q \simeq \pi \otimes r$. Moreover, p is a neighbour of π.*

Proof. The first statement is the $s = 0$ case of Proposition 4.11. The second statement then follows from the aforementioned result of Karpenko together with Corollary 3.9. More precisely, Karpenko showed in [Kar01] that if the upper motive of P is binary, and

$\dim(p) \in \{3, 5, 9\}$, then p is a Pfister neighbour. But this implies the same assertion in all dimensions ≤ 16 by [IV00, Thm. 6.1] and [Vis04, Cor. 3.9, Cor. 4.7]. □

We can now give the proof of Theorem 4.4:

Proof of Theorem 4.4. We may assume that $k \geq 1$, since otherwise there is nothing to prove. If $\dim(q_{k-1}) = 2^{i+1} - s$, then Conjecture 4.2 holds by Theorem 4.9. Thus, by Lemma 4.8, we can assume that $\dim(q_{k-1}) \geq 2^{i+2} - s$. In particular, since $s < 2^i$, we have $\dim(q) > 2^{i+1} + 2^i$, and so case (2) of the theorem is already implicit in Theorem 4.9. It remains to deal with case (1). Thus, assume that $s \leq 7$. By Proposition 4.6 and Lemma 4.7, we see that it suffices to know that Conjecture 4.5 holds in the following cases:

(i) $\dim(\tau) \in \{0, 1\}$, $\dim(\varphi) \geq 7$.
(ii) $\dim(\tau) \in \{2, 3\}$, $\dim(\varphi) \geq 13$.
(iii) $\dim(\tau) \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$, $\dim(\varphi) \geq 25$.

But all these cases of Conjecture 4.5 are already known to be valid: For the cases where $\dim(\tau) \leq 5$, see [Kah95, Thm. 2]; for the case where $\dim(\tau) = 6$, see [Lag99, Thm. principale], and for the case where $\dim(\tau) = 7$, see [IV00, Thm. 3.9]. □

Remarks 4.13. (1) Laghribi ([Lag99, Théorème principale]) has shown that if $\dim(\varphi) > 16$, then Conjecture 4.5 also holds for some special classes of 8, 9 and 10-dimensional forms τ. This permits to extend Theorem 4.4 to the case where $s \in \{8, 9, 10\}$ under some additional assumptions on q. We refrain from going into the details here.

(2) Conjecturally, the form $(q_{F(p)})_{\mathrm{an}}$ should be defined over F in the situation of Conjecture 4.2 provided that *either* (i) $s < 2^{i-1} + 2^{i-2}$ or (ii) $k \geq 1$ and $\dim(q_{k-1}) \neq 2^{i+1} - s$. Indeed, that the second condition should be enough is implicit in our proof of Theorem 4.4. As for the first condition, we may additionally assume in this case that $k \geq 1$ and $\dim(q_{k-1}) = 2^{i+1} - s$. By Proposition 4.11, this ensures that the upper motive of P is binary. According to Vishik's conjecture, this should in turn imply that p is a Pfister neighbour. By replacing p by its ambient Pfister form, we could then assume that $\dim(p) = 2^{i+1} > 2s$, and so the claim would follow directly from Conjecture 4.5.

Acknowledgements. The author gratefully acknowledges the support of a PIMS postdoctoral fellowship and NSERC discovery grant during the preparation of this article.

References

[AP71] J.K. Arason and A. Pfister *Beweis des Krullschen Durchschnittsatzes fÃ¼r den Wittring.* Invent. Math. **12** (1971), 173–176.

[EKM08] R. Elman, N. Karpenko, and A. Merkurjev. *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms.* AMS Colloquium Publications **56**, American Mathematical Society, 2008.

[EL72] R. Elman and T.Y. Lam. *Pfister forms and K-theory of fields.* J. Algebra **23** (1972), 181–213.

[GS78] E. Gentile and D. Shapiro. *Conservative quadratic forms.* Math. Zeit. **163** (1978), 15–23.

[Gro61] A. Grothendieck. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné): II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes.* Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS **8** (1961), 5–222.

[Fit81] R.W. Fitzgerald. *Function fields of quadratic forms.* Math. Z. **178** (1981), no. 1, 63–76.

[Fit83] R.W. Fitzgerald. *Witt kernels of function field extensions.* Pacific J. Math. **109** (1983), no. 1, 89–106.

[Hof95] D.W. Hoffmann. *Isotropy of quadratic forms over the function field of a quadric.* Math. Z. **220** (1995), no. 3, 461–476.

[Izh00] O. Izhboldin. *Motivic equivalence of quadratic forms. II.* Manuscripta Math. **102** (2000), no. 1, 41–52.

[Izh01] O. Izhboldin. *Fields of u-invariant* 9. Ann. of Math. (2) **154** (2001), no. 3, 529–587.

[IV00] O. Izhboldin and A. Vishik. *Quadratic forms with absolutely maximal splitting.* Quadratic forms and their applications (Dublin, 1999), 103–125, Contemp. Math. **272**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2000.

[Kah95] B. Kahn. *A descent problem for quadratic forms.* Duke Math. J. **80** (1995), no. 1, 139–155.

[Kah96] B. Kahn. *Formes quadratiques de hauteur et de degré 2.* Indag. Math. (N.S.) **7** (1996), no. 1, 47–66.

[KRS98] B. Kahn, M. Rost and R. Sujatha. *Unramified cohomology of quadrics. I.* Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), no. 4, 841–891.

[Kar01] N.A. Karpenko. *Characterization of minimal Pfister neighbors via Rost projectors.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **160** (2001), no. 2-3, 195–227.

[Kar03] N.A. Karpenko. *On the first Witt index of quadratic forms.* Invent. Math. **153** (2003), no.2, 455–462.

[Kne76] M. Knebusch. *Generic splitting of quadratic forms. I.* Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **33** (1976), no. 1, 65–93.

[Kne77] M. Knebusch. *Generic splitting of quadratic forms. II.* Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **34** (1977), no. 1, 1–31.

[Lag99] A. Laghribi. *Sur le problème de descente des formes quadratiques.* Arch. Math. (Basel) **73** (1999), no. 1, 18–24.

[Lag05] A. Laghribi. *Witt kernels of function field extensions in characteristic 2.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **199** (2005), no. 1-3, 167–182.

[Mer91] A.S. Merkurjev. *Simple algebras and quadratic forms.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **55** (1991), no. 1, 218–224.

[OVV07] D. Orlov, A. Vishik, and V. Voevodsky, *An exact sequence for $K^M_*/2$ with applications to quadratic forms.* Ann. of Math. **165** (2007), 1–13.

[Ros90] M. Rost. *Some new results on the Chow groups of quadrics.* Preprint, 1990.

[Scu16] S. Scully. *A bound for the index of a quadratic form after scalar extension to the function field of a quadric.* Preprint, 2016, `arXiv:1607.07529v1`.

[Vis04] A. Vishik. *Motives of quadrics with applications to the theory of quadratic forms.* Geometric methods in the algebraic theory of quadratic forms, 25–101, Lecture Notes in Math. **1835**, Springer, 2004.

[Vis09] A. Vishik. *Fields of u-invariant* $2^r + 1$. Algebra, arithmetic and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II, 661–685, Progr. Math., 270, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009.

[Vis11] A. Vishik. *Excellent connections in the motives of quadrics.* Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **44** (2011), no. 1, 183–195.

[Voe03] V. Voevodsky. *Motivic cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/2$-coefficients.* Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. 98 (2003), 59–104.

Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB T6G 2G1, Canada

E-mail address: `stephenjscully@gmail.com`